

Emerging Hazards
Peer-Reviewed

E-Cigarettes

A Hazy Hazard

By Cheryl L. (Cheri) Marcham
and John P. (Jack) Springston



The surge in use of electronic cigarettes (e-cigarettes or e-cigs) has raised many questions for OSH professionals as to whether use of such products should be allowed in the workplace. After all, if these are smoking-cessation devices, should we not encourage employees to quit smoking through use of these devices? What is the harm? Is it not just water vapor?

E-cigarettes were originally designed in 1963 by Herbert Gilbert and patented in 1965 as a smokeless nontobacco cigarette intended to provide a harmless means of smoking (U.S. Patent No. 3,200,819 A, 1965). Researchers estimated some 460 different brands and more than 7,700 unique flavors were on the market as of January 2014 (Zhu, Sun, Bonnevie, et al., 2014), and the numbers have likely increased since. U.S. e-cigarette sales were estimated at \$2.2 billion in 2014 (Rigotti, 2015) with an expected annual growth of more than 50% for the foreseeable future (Mickle, 2015).

While configurations of these devices have evolved through many generations, the typical components include a fluid-filled reservoir, which contains the liquid e-fluid or e-juice to be vaporized, an atomizer (heating coil) to vaporize the liquid and a battery (typically lithium) to power the atomizer. First-generation e-cigarettes resemble traditional cigarettes; second-generation devices have a distinct reservoir tank and larger battery; third-generation e-cigarettes are fully modifiable by the user, often to increase vaping power, output and battery life (Floyd, Aryal, Wang, et al., 2017). The intent is for users to inhale nicotine or flavored vapors without the cancer risks associated with traditional tobacco cigarette use because the devices have no combustion source or tobacco, which forms cancer-causing by-products when burned, (Maron, 2014).

Components & By-Products

The primary components of most e-juices are propylene glycol and/or vegetable glycerin (glycerol). These are used as delivery vehicles for the nicotine and flavorings, and to create a vapor cloud that is exhaled by the user (Riker, Lee, Darville, et al., 2012). Several studies have measured varying ranges of airborne propylene glycol concentrations from e-cigarette use and reported that exposures vary depending on types and numbers of e-cigarettes used, room size and ventilation rates.

Pellegrino, Tinghino, Mangiaracina, et al. (2012), measured propylene glycol concentrations of 1,650 to 1,600 mg/m³ and 580 to 610 mg/m³ of glycerin in the vapor emitted from e-cigarettes. Schober, Szendrei, Batzen, et al. (2013), show ranges of propylene glycol from 110 to 215 µg/m³ and glycerin in the range of 59 to 81 µg/m³ in the gas phase of emissions. McAuley, Hopke, Zhao, et al. (2012), report airborne propylene glycol concentrations ranging from 2.25 to 120 mg/m³. Geiss, Bianchi, Barahona, et al. (2015), estimate lung concentrations of 160 mg/m³ for propylene glycol and 220 mg/m³ for glycerol in users vaping traditional e-cigarette refill liquid.

In comparison, exposure to propylene glycol used in theatrical fog at concentrations ranging from 0.02 to 4.11 mg/m³ has been found to contribute to various respiratory health issues, such as asthma, wheezing, chest tightness, decreased lung function, irritation and airway obstruction (Varughese, Teschke, Brauer, et al., 2005). AIHA's (2013) recommended workplace environmental exposure level value for propylene glycol is an 8-hour time-weighted average of 10 mg/m³ and American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists' threshold limit value (TLV) for glycerin mist is 10 mg/m³ (OSHA, 2006).

Studies have shown that when glycerol is heated, such as in the coil of an e-cigarette, pyrolysis occurs; this may lead to the formation of acrolein, formaldehyde and acetaldehyde in the vapor (Geiss, et al., 2015; Goniewicz, Knysak, Gawron, et al., 2014; Uchiyama, Ohta, Inaba, et al., 2013). Formaldehyde, a recognized human carcinogen, is a known degradation product of propylene glycol and glycerol, and is found in higher airborne concentrations in e-cigarettes that operate at high voltage or that feature a variable voltage battery (Jensen, Luo, Pankow, et al., 2015). This may be

IN BRIEF

• **Electronic cigarettes (e-cigarettes, e-cigs) are battery-powered devices that deliver vaporized nicotine and other substances such as flavorings to users without smoke or combustion. They are commonly marketed as healthier alternatives to smoking and as smoking-cessation tools.**

• **A common misperception is that e-cigarettes only release water vapor. In reality, these devices release nicotine and other chemicals in a vapor form that can expose both the user and those in the immediate vicinity to the contaminants. While the health risks of using e-cigarettes are far lower than smoking regular cigarettes, many toxic compounds are still present.**

• **The rapid increase in e-cigarette use has generated concern for indoor air quality because data are still limited on potential exposures and human health risks for users and others through second- and thirdhand exposure.**

Cheryl L. (Cheri) Marcham, Ph.D., CSP, CIH, CHMM, FAIHA, is an assistant professor in the College of Aeronautics Worldwide Online Campus for Embry-Riddle Aeronautical University. Prior to this, she was the environmental health and safety officer for a major university for more than 25 years. She holds a B.S. in Biology from Arizona State University, and an M.S. and Ph.D. from University of Oklahoma. Marcham is a BCSP director and has served on AIHA's board of directors. She is a professional member of ASSE's Oklahoma City Chapter and a member of the Society's Educational Standards Committee.

John P. (Jack) Springston, CSP, CIH, FAIHA, is industrial hygiene program manager for TRC Environmental Corp. in New York, NY. He has been an industrial hygiene and occupational safety consultant for more than 29 years. He holds a B.S. in Environmental Biology from Southampton College and an M.S. in Environmental and Occupational Health Sciences from Hunter College. Springston is a professional member of ASSE's Long Island Chapter.

of particular concern in newer-generation e-cigarettes with adjustable voltages that allow users to generate a thicker vapor.

ing nicotine have been found to contain nicotine (FDA, 2014; Goniewicz, et al., 2013).

Nicotine

Nicotine is present in most e-liquids, including e-cigarette cartridges labeled as containing no nicotine (FDA, 2014). Typical nicotine content ranges from zero to 3.6%, although levels as high as 15% have been found in some e-liquids (Goniewicz, Gupta, Lee, et al., 2015). Health effects from exposure to nicotine are well documented and include increased heart rate, respiratory rate, blood pressure and alertness level (NIOSH, 2014). Nicotine, which is highly addictive, is a teratogen (NIOSH, 2014) and can promote tumor growth (Davis, Rizwani, Banerjee, et al., 2009). Exposure to nicotine during adolescence can affect brain development and predispose youth to future tobacco use (Leventhal, Strong, Kirkpatrick, et al., 2015; Rigotti, 2015). Ingestion or inhalation of nicotine can cause nausea, vomiting, abdominal pain, headache, dizziness, confusion, agitation and restlessness, and possible burning sensation in the mouth, throat and stomach (NIOSH, 2014). Nicotine can also readily pass into the bloodstream via dermal contact, which is of concern if e-liquid contacts the skin.

CDC (1994) uses a human oral lethal dose of 60 mg for a 154 lb (70 kg) adult (around 0.8 mg/kg), but this value has been challenged in context with the e-cigarette movement (Mayer, 2014). However, using the 0.8 mg/kg value results in a lethal dose of around 8 to 11 mg for a 22 to 31 lb (10 to 14 kg) toddler. Nicotine content measured in 15 common brands of e-liquid cartridges in one study ranged from 1.6 to 19 mg (Goniewicz, et al., 2015), spanning this potentially lethal range for small children.

According to CDC, calls related to poisoning from the liquid nicotine used in e-cigarettes ran at a rate of roughly one per month in 2010, but jumped to 215 in February 2014 (CDC, 2014). More than half of the poison center calls involved children age 5 and younger, while 42% involved people age 20 and older. Ingestion of e-liquid accounted for nearly 70% of the exposures, while dermal exposures accounted for 6% (CDC, 2014). American Association of Poison Control Centers (AAPCC, 2016) received 3,073 exposure reports to e-cigarettes and liquid nicotine in 2015. By comparison, in 2011 a total of 271 exposures were reported.

Airborne concentrations of nicotine have been detected in secondhand emissions from e-cigarettes, although the reported average concentrations found are lower than those found in secondhand tobacco smoke (Czogala, Goniewicz, Fidelus, et al., 2013; McAuley, et al., 2012). A lack of strong quality-control standards or labeling requirements compounds the potential secondhand emission concerns from e-cigarettes (Goniewicz, Kuma, Gawron, et al., 2013). Several studies have found that cartridges containing nicotine deliver varying concentrations of nicotine, in some cases two to five times greater than stated on the packaging, while other cartridges labeled as not contain-

Flavorings

A serious potential health concern is the widespread use of various flavoring agents in e-cigarettes. Manufacturers of e-liquids use various natural and artificial flavoring agents, many of which are used as flavoring in food products. Flavors such as cotton candy, bubble gum, gummy bear, apple pie, piña colada, cherry and buttered popcorn are readily available on the Internet and in retail shops. The use of commercially available flavors that imitate common foods, candies and liquors has raised concerns that these products may serve as a gateway for children and young adults to nicotine addiction and traditional cigarette use (Primack, Soneji, Stoolmiller, et al., 2015; Rigotti, 2015).

Many flavorings used in e-liquids were initially used in food products. Flavor and Extract Manufacturers Association (FEMA, 2016) maintains an independent program that evaluates the safety and generally recognized as safe (GRAS) status of substances for use as flavor ingredients in food products. However, the safety of the use of such products in e-cigarettes has not been tested or proven. A product that may be safe when ingested may not be similarly safe when inhaled. A classic example is the use of diacetyl as a buttery flavoring for popcorn and other food products. While ingestion of diacetyl is generally regarded as safe, inhalation of volatilized diacetyl has been associated with bronchiolitis obliterans, a rare and potentially fatal lung disease (Egilman & Schilling, 2012).

Intentional inhalation of some flavorings in a vapor form can be extremely hazardous. Farsalinos, Kistler, Gillman, et al. (2015), analyzed 159 samples of sweet-flavored e-cigarette liquids purchased from 36 manufacturers and retailers in seven different countries for the presence of diacetyl and acetyl propionyl (2,3-pentanedione). Both compounds are GRAS when ingested, but are associated with significant respiratory disease when inhaled. Diacetyl or acetyl propionyl were found in 74.2% of the samples, even in samples from manufacturers that clearly stated the chemicals were not present. The study authors estimate that an average user's consumption "would result in 490 times higher daily intake compared to the NIOSH [occupational exposure] limit" for diacetyl.

Allen, Flanigan, Leblanc, et al. (2015), found diacetyl in the vapor but not in the liquid of 39 of 51 different e-liquid flavors tested. Brown, Luo, Isabelle, et al. (2014), detected benzaldehyde in cherry flavoring, methyl anthranilate in grape flavoring and 1-hexanol in apple flavoring; none of these or other flavoring compounds have toxicological data on the potential health effects from inhaling the vapor form.

In addition, little research has been conducted on what type of thermal degradation products are generated by the heating and vaporizing of the myriad e-liquid flavors and combinations available. Although the science continues to expand, a wide



While these products are for personal use, if used in the workplace and found in the employer's waste stream, compliance concerns could be raised.

knowledge gap exists on health effects from inhaling flavorings and other ingredients that have not been evaluated for inhalation toxicity or for potential thermal degradation products (AIHA, 2014).

Ultrafine Particulates

Adverse health effects from exposure to fine and ultrafine particles in ambient air pollution are well documented. Researchers have found significant associations between elevated cardiovascular and respiratory disease mortality, and exposure to fine and ultrafine particulates (Wichmann, Spix, Tuch, et al., 2000). Exposure to fine and ultrafine particulates may also exacerbate preexisting respiratory ailments, such as asthma (Sacks, Stanek, Luben, et al., 2010).

Studies have shown that e-cigarette emissions may contain large amounts of particulates ranging between 10 and 1,000 nm in size, with an average size of 400 nm (Zhang, Sumner & Chen, 2013). Schober, et al. (2013), report that PM_{2.5} concentrations during vaping sessions with e-cigarette users were around 373 µg/m³, with levels as high as 514 µg/m³ measured during some vaping sessions. Floyd, et al. (2017), report that with newer, higher powered e-cigarettes, as power increases, a greater fraction of aerosol is in the respirable fraction (≤ 2.5 µm), however, the persistence of these particulates (i.e., half-life) in air can be short due to rapid evaporation (Bertholon, Becquemin, Roy, et al., 2013). E-cigarette aerosol, therefore, may present a new source of particulates in the indoor environment and pose a potential exposure hazard to bystanders, but further study is needed.

Second- & Thirdhand Exposure Concerns

Williams, Villarreal, Bozhilov, et al. (2013), found that aerosols generated from e-cigarettes contain tin, silver, iron, nickel, aluminum, sodium, copper, magnesium, lead, chromium, manganese, potassium, zinc, silicates and nanoparticles of tin, chromium and nickel. Other studies of exhaled aerosol have revealed the presence of nicotine, propylene glycol, glycerin, ethylbenzene, benzene, toluene, m,p-xylene, acetone, formaldehyde, acetaldehyde, acrolein, propanal, diacetyl, fine/ultrafine particles and tobacco-specific nitrosamines (TSNAs) (AIHA, 2014; Farsalinos, Gillman, Poulas, et al., 2015). TSNAs are potent carcinogenic chemicals that also have been shown to form on surfaces as a reaction of deposited nicotine and ambient nitrous acid (Sleiman, Gundel, Pankow, et al., 2010). Such deposition products and by-products can present a potential hand-to-mouth exposure from touching surfaces (i.e., thirdhand exposures), which is significant in settings with young children.

Disposal Issues

In addition to exposure concerns, e-cigarettes contain lithium-ion batteries and nicotine, both of which EPA considers hazardous waste. This may pose concerns when disposing of e-cigarettes and e-liquids. EPA's (2015) proposed rule for management of hazardous waste pharmaceuticals pro-

poses that "unused (unsold, expired or returned) nicotine-containing products, including patches, gums, lozenges, inhalers, nasal sprays and e-cigarettes, are classified as P075 listed acute hazardous wastes when discarded" (p. 58072). While these products are for personal use, if used in the workplace and found in the employer's waste stream, compliance concerns could be raised.

Safety Issues

Several incidents have been reported of explosions and fires involving e-cigarettes. The most commonly reported cause of such fires and explosions has been from the use of incorrect chargers for the lithium-ion batteries used in the e-cigarettes (U.S. Fire Administration, 2014). Unfortunately, no requirements exist for e-cigarette manufacturers regarding overcurrent or overcharge protection. This is especially significant in newer modified e-cigarettes; devices left charging may overheat, which can cause a fire or battery explosion (U.S. Fire Administration, 2014). An unfortunate consequence of the e-cigarette design is that the battery is installed in a device that has its weakest point at the ends of the device; when a battery fails, it can be "propelled across the room like a bullet or small rocket" (U.S. Fire Administration, 2014, p. 5).

Current Regulatory Status

Although nicotine is derived from tobacco, electronic cigarettes contain no tobacco and are, therefore, not automatically regulated as a tobacco product. However, Food and Drug Administration (FDA, 2017) extended its authority to regulate e-cigarettes, vape pens and hookah tobacco effective Aug. 8, 2016. FDA can require manufacturers, importers and retailers to report ingredients, place health warnings on products and advertisements, and limit sales to persons under age 18 (FDA, 2017).

In addition, various states, municipalities and other entities have adopted their own restrictions on sales and bans on the use of e-cigarettes in public places, transportation facilities, and restaurants and bars. According to American Nonsmokers' Rights Foundation (ANRF, 2017), as of April 3, 2017, 27 states have passed laws restricting the use of e-cigarettes in certain settings. Additionally, 615 cities/counties have enacted local laws restricting e-cigarette use in venues that had previously established smoking bans (ANRF, 2017). Many U.S. airlines, commuter rail lines and transit entities have also modified their no-smoking policies to specifically include e-cigarettes (AIHA, 2014).

Recommendations

AIHA (2014) examined the available research about e-cigarettes in the indoor environment. The association recommends:

E-cigarettes should be considered a source of [volatile organic compounds] and particulates in the indoor environment that have not been thoroughly characterized or evaluated for safety. . . . Because e-cigarettes are a potential source of pollutants (such as airborne nicotine, flavor-



Until more science is known about the potential hazards, scientific organizations recommend including e-cigarettes in existing smoke-free policies.

ings and thermal degradation products), their use in the indoor environment should be restricted, consistent with current smoking bans, until and unless research documents that they will not significantly increase the risk of adverse health effects to room occupants. (pp. 22-23)

NIOSH recommends that employers “establish and maintain smoke-free workplaces that protect those in workplaces from involuntary, second-hand exposures to tobacco smoke and airborne emissions from e-cigarettes and other electronic nicotine delivery systems” (Castellan, Chosewood, Trout, et al., 2015, p. xi).

ANSI/American Society of Heating, Refrigerating and Air-Conditioning Engineers (ASHRAE, 2015) clarify that the definition of environmental tobacco smoke (ETS) “includes smoke produced from the combustion of cannabis and controlled substances and the emissions produced by electronic smoking devices.” It also recommends that “provision of acceptable indoor air quality is incompatible with the presence of ETS, including cannabis smoke and e-cigarette emissions” (p. 3).

World Health Organization (WHO, 2014) states that electronic nicotine delivery system (ENDS) users should be “requested not to use ENDS indoors, especially where smoking is banned, until exhaled vapor is proven to be not harmful to bystanders and reasonable evidence exists that smoke-free policy enforcement is not undermined” (p. 11).

Conclusion

So what does all of this mean to the OSH professional? Until more science is known about the potential hazards, scientific organizations such as AIHA, NIOSH, ASHRAE and WHO recommend including e-cigarettes in existing smoke-free policies, thus limiting their use in the indoor environment. AIHA (2014) further recommends:

For organizations and businesses that have smoking bans, especially those required by law, it would be advisable for them to update their bans to specifically include e-cigarettes in order to eliminate potential confusion among patrons as well as employees charged with enforcing those bans. (p. 19)

Knowing that e-cigarette emissions are not just water vapor can go a long way in helping OSH professionals understand the potential risks when establishing and enforcing employer smoking policies. **PS**

References

AIHA. (2011). WEEL values (2013). In *2013 ERPG/WEEL Handbook* (pp. 43-50). Falls Church, VA. Retrieved from www.aiha.org/get-involved/AIHAGuideLineFoundation/WEELs/Documents/2011WEELValues.pdf

AIHA. (2014, Oct. 19). Electronic cigarettes in the indoor environment (White paper). Retrieved from www.aiha.org/government-affairs/PositionStatements/Electronic%20Cig%20Document_Final.pdf

Allen, J.G., Flanigan, S.S., Leblanc, M., et al. (2015). Flavoring chemicals in e-cigarettes: Diacetyl, 2,3-pentanedione and acetoin in a sample of 51 products, including fruit-, candy- and cocktail-flavored e-cigarettes. *Environmental Health Perspectives*, 124(6). doi:10.1289/ehp.1510185

American Association of Poison Control Centers (AAPCC). (2016, Feb. 29). Electronic cigarettes and liquid nicotine data. Retrieved from https://aapcc.s3.amazonaws.com/files/library/E-cig_Nicotine_Web_Data_through_02.2016_SUVioCe.pdf

American Nonsmokers' Rights Foundation (ANRF). (2017, Apr. 3). States and municipalities with laws regulating use of electronic cigarettes. Retrieved from www.no-smoke.org/pdf/ecigslaws.pdf

ANSI/American Society of Heating, Refrigerating and Air-Conditioning Engineers (ASHRAE). (2015). ANSI/ASHRAE addenda a, c, j, k, q, r, and s to ANSI/ASHRAE Standard 62.1-2013, Ventilation for acceptable indoor air quality. Retrieved from www.ashrae.org/File%20Library/docLib/StdAddenda/62_1_2013_2015Supplement_20150203.pdf

Bertholon, J.-F., Becquemin, M.H., Roy, M., et al. (2013). Comparison of the aerosol produced by electronic cigarettes with conventional cigarettes and the shisha. *Revue des Maladies Respiratoires*, 30(9), 752-757. doi:10.1111/j.16002012072

Brown, J.E., Luo, W., Isabelle, L.M., et al. (2014). Candy flavorings in tobacco. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 370(23), 2250-2252. doi:10.1056/nejmc1403015

Castellan, R.M., Chosewood, L.C., Trout, D., et al. (2015). Current intelligence bulletin 67: Promoting health and preventing disease and injury through workplace tobacco policies (NIOSH Publication No. 2015-113). Retrieved from www.cdc.gov/niosh/docs/2015-113/pdfs/fy15_cib-67_2015-113_v3.pdf

CDC. (1994, May). Nicotine. Table of IDLH values. Retrieved from www.cdc.gov/niosh/idlh/54115.html

CDC. (2014, April 3). New CDC study finds dramatic increase in e-cigarette-related calls to poison centers [Press release]. Retrieved from www.cdc.gov/media/releases/2014/p0403-e-cigarette-poison.html

Czogala, J., Goniewicz, M.L., Fidelus, B., et al. (2013). Secondhand exposure to vapors from electronic cigarettes. *Nicotine & Tobacco Research*, 16(6). doi:10.1093/ntr/ntt203

Davis R., Rizwani, W., Banerjee, S., et al. (2009). Nicotine promotes tumor growth and metastasis in mouse models of lung cancer. *PLoS One*, 4(10), e7524. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0007524

Egilman, D.S. & Schilling, J.H. (2012). Bronchiolitis obliterans and consumer exposure to butter-flavored microwave popcorn: A case series. *International Journal of Occupational and Environmental Health*, 18(1), 29-42. doi:10.1179/1077352512z.0000000005

EPA. (2015, Sept. 25). Management standards for hazardous waste pharmaceuticals (Proposed rule). *Federal Register*, 80(186). Retrieved from www.epa.gov/hwgenerators/proposed-rule-management-standards-hazardous-waste-pharmaceuticals

Farsalinos, K., Gillman, G., Poulas, K., et al. (2015). Tobacco-specific nitrosamines in electronic cigarettes: Comparison between liquid and aerosol levels. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 12(8), 9046-9053. doi:10.3390/ijerph12089046

Farsalinos, K.E., Kistler, K.A., Gillman, G., et al. (2015). Evaluation of electronic cigarette liquids and aerosol for the presence of selected inhalation toxins. *Nicotine & Tobacco Research*, 17(2), 168-174. doi:10.1093/ntr/ntu176

- Food and Drug Administration (FDA). (2014). Summary of results: Laboratory analysis of electronic cigarettes conducted by FDA. Retrieved from www.fda.gov/NewsEvents/PublicHealthFocus/ucm173146.htm
- FDA. (2017). FDA's new regulations for e-cigarettes, cigars and all other tobacco products. Retrieved from www.fda.gov/TobaccoProducts/Labeling/RegulationsGuidance/ucm394909.htm
- Flavor and Extract Manufacturers Association (FEMA). (2016). About the FEMA GRAS program. Retrieved from www.femaflavor.org/gras
- Floyd, E., Aryal, S., Wang, J., et al. (2017). *E-cigarette power influences concentration and particle size of vaping aerosol* [Scholarly project]. In University of Oklahoma Health Sciences Center, College of Public Health, Department of Occupational and Environmental Health. Retrieved from <http://publichealth.ouhsc.edu/Portals/1055/Assets/spvaping.pdf>
- Geiss, O., Bianchi, L., Barahona, F., et al. (2015). Characterization of mainstream and passive vapors emitted by selected electronic cigarettes. *International Journal of Hygiene and Environmental Health*, 281(1), 169-180. doi:10.1016/j.ijheh.2014.10.001
- Gilbert, H.A. (1965). *U.S. Patent No. 3,200,819 A*. Washington, DC: U.S. Patent and Trademark Office.
- Goniewicz, M.L., Gupta, R., Lee, Y.H., et al. (2015). Nicotine levels in electronic cigarette refill solutions: A comparative analysis of products from the U.S., Korea and Poland. *International Journal of Drug Policy*, 26(6), 583-588. doi:10.1016/j.drugpo.2015.01.020
- Goniewicz, M.L., Knysak, J., Gawron, M., et al. (2014). Levels of selected carcinogens and toxicants in vapor from electronic cigarettes. *Tobacco Control*, 23, 133-139. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/tobaccocontrol-2012-050859>
- Goniewicz, M.L., Kuma, T., Gawron, M., et al. (2013). Nicotine levels in electronic cigarettes. *Nicotine & Tobacco Research* 15(1), 158-166. doi:10.1093/ntr/nts103
- Jensen, R.P., Luo, W., Pankow, J.F., et al. (2015). Hidden formaldehyde in e-cigarette aerosols. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 372(4), 392-394.
- Leventhal, A.M., Strong, D.R., Kirkpatrick, M.G., et al. (2015). Association of electronic cigarette use with initiation of combustible tobacco product smoking in early adolescence. *Journal of the American Medical Association*, 314(7), 700-707. doi:10.1001/jama.2015.8950
- Maron, D.F. (2014, May 1). Smoke screen: Are e-cigarettes safe? *Scientific American*. Retrieved from www.scientificamerican.com/article/smoke-screen-are-e-cigarettes-safe
- Mayer, B. (2014). How much nicotine kills a human? Tracing back the generally accepted lethal dose to dubious self-experiments in the nineteenth century. *Archives of Toxicology*, 88(1), 5-7. doi:10.1007/s00204-013-1127-0
- McAuley, T.R., Hopke, P.K., Zhao, J., et al. (2012). Comparison of the effects of e-cigarette vapor and cigarette smoke on indoor air quality. *Inhalation Toxicology*, 24(12):850-857. doi:10.3109/08958378.2012.724728
- Mickle, T. (2015, Nov. 17). E-cigarette sales rapidly lost steam. *Wall Street Journal*. Retrieved from www.wsj.com/articles/e-cig-sales-rapidly-lose-steam-1447798921
- NIOSH. (2014). Nicotine: Systemic agent. In Emergency response safety and health database. Retrieved from www.cdc.gov/niosh/ershd/EmergencyResponseCard_29750028.html
- OSHA. (2006). Glycerin mist (total dust). Retrieved from www.osha.gov/dts/chemicalsampling/data/CH_243600.html
- Pellegrino, R.M., Tinghino, B., Mangiaracina, G., et al. (2012). Electronic cigarettes: An evaluation of exposure to chemicals and fine particulate matter (PM). *Annali di Igiene (Annals of Hygiene)*, 24(4), 279-288.
- Primack, B.A., Soneji, S., Stoolmiller, M., et al. (2015). Progression to traditional cigarette smoking after electronic cigarette use among U.S. adolescents and young adults. *JAMA Pediatrics*, 169(11), 1018-1023. doi:10.1001/jamapediatrics.2015.1742
- Rigotti, N.A. (2015). E-cigarette use and subsequent tobacco use by adolescents: New evidence about a potential risk of e-cigarettes. *Journal of the American Medical Association*, 314(7), 673-674. doi:10.1001/jama.2015.8382
- Riker, C.A., Lee, K., Darville, A., et al. (2012). E-cigarettes: Promise or peril? *Nursing Clinics of North America*, 47(1), 159-171. doi:10.1016/j.cnur.2011.10.002
- Sacks, J.D., Stanek, L.W., Luben, T.J., et al. (2010). Particulate matter—Induced health effects: Who is susceptible? *Environmental Health Perspectives*, 119(4), 446-454. doi:10.1289/ehp.1002255
- Schober, W., Szendrei, K., Batzen, W., et al. (2013). Use of electronic cigarettes (e-cigarettes) impairs indoor air quality and increases FeNO levels of e-cigarette consumers. *International Journal of Hygiene and Environmental Health*, 217(6), 628-637. doi:10.1016/j.ijheh.2013.11.003
- Sleiman, M., Gundel, L.A., Pankow, J.F., et al. (2010). Formation of carcinogens indoors by surface-mediated reactions of nicotine with nitrous acid, leading to potential thirdhand smoke hazards. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 107(15), 6576-6581. doi:10.1073/pnas.0912820107
- Uchiyama, S., Ohta, K., Inaba, Y., et al. (2013). Determination of carbonyl compounds generated from the e-cigarette using coupled silica cartridges impregnated with hydroquinone and 2,4-dinitrophenylhydrazine, followed by high-performance liquid chromatography. *Analytical Sciences*, 29(12), 1219-1222.
- U.S. Fire Administration. (2014, Oct.). Electronic cigarette fires and explosions. Retrieved from www.usfa.fema.gov/downloads/pdf/publications/electronic_cigarettes.pdf
- Varughese, S., Teschke, K., Brauer, M., et al. (2005). Effects of theatrical smokes and fogs on respiratory health in the entertainment industry. *American Journal of Industrial Medicine*, 47(5), 411-418. doi:10.1002/ajim.20151
- Wichmann, H-E., Spix, C., Tuch, T., et al. (2000, Nov.). Daily mortality and fine and ultrafine particles in Erfurt, Germany: Part I: Role of particle number and particle mass (Research report No. 98). Health Effects Institute. Retrieved from www.healtheffects.org/system/files/Wichmann-final.pdf
- Williams, M., Villarreal, A., Bozhilov, K., et al. (2013). Metal and silicate particles including nanoparticles are present in electronic cigarette cartomizer fluid and aerosol. *PLoS ONE*, 8(3). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0057987
- World Health Organization (WHO). (2014, Sept. 1). Electronic nicotine delivery systems. *Conference of the Parties to the WHO Framework Convention on Tobacco Control*. Retrieved from http://apps.who.int/gb/fctc/PDF/cop6/FCTC_COP6_10Rev1-en.pdf?ua=1
- Zhang, Y., Sumner, W. & Chen, D. (2013). In vitro particle size distributions in electronic and conventional cigarette aerosols suggest comparable deposition patterns. *Nicotine & Tobacco Research*, 15(2), 501-508. doi:10.1093/ntr/nts165
- Zhu, S., Sun, J.Y., Bonnevie, E., et al. (2014). Four hundred and sixty brands of e-cigarettes and counting: Implications for product regulation. *Tobacco Control*, 23, iii3-iii9. doi:10.1136/tobaccocontrol-2014-051670